

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,430	08/08/2001	Katsumi Kurematsu	1232-4753	5154
27123	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 345 PARK AVENUE		ROBINSON, MARK A		
NEW YORK, NY 10154			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/924,430	KUREMATSU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Robinson	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions from the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard processed by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- itute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.6 and 12-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 25-28 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 12-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	withdrawn from consideratio	n.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to lith objected or b) objected to lith objected in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	0 T 1-1	UTT TO 112	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/25/03 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 25-28 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally elected for the following reasons: Claims 25-28 are directed to the species shown in fig. 4 which was non-elected by applicant in the election filed 10/28/02. Accordingly, claims 25-28 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: "as" as used in the last line is grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 20 and 21 recite various mirrors "not a rotational symmetry." However, the specification lacks explicit support for this limitation and accordingly the limitation constitutes new matter.

Art Unit: 2872

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 20 the alternative language makes it unclear precisely what elements are intended to be included in the claimed combination. Claim 20 appears to be an attempt to claim both disclosed embodiments in a single claim using an alternative format. The scope of such a claim is unascertainable.

Further, claims 20,21 and 23 recite various mirrors "not a rotational symmetry." This phrase is nonsensical. It is unclear if the limitation implies a curved mirror rather than some sort of rotational symmetry or a curved mirror which is rotationally asymmetric.

Inasmuch as the claims are able to be understood in light of the 112 rejections made above, the following rejection(s) apply:

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

9. Claims 1,13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's admission of the prior art shown in fig. 6.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 11. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission.

Use of well known Fresnel plates with the device shown in fig. 6 would have been obvious as discussed in the previous office action.

12. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Negishi 5871266 in view of Braat 6255661.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430

Art Unit: 2872

13. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission in view of Braat.

Although not shown by applicant's admission in fig. 6, use of six mirrors would have been obvious in light of Braat's disclosure in order to provide better image correction and to provide additional degrees of freedom in designing for the correction of aberrations.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 09/924,430 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

3/10/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER